

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 02/13/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/770,770 | 01/25/2001 | Philip S. Bernard | A-68556/RFT/JJD | 7770 |
| 7 | 590 02/13/2002 | | | |
| FLEHR HOHBACH TEST | | | EXAMINER | |
| ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP Suite 3400 | | | TUNG, JOYCE | |
| Four Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4187 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Sur. Huneisco, | , | | 1637 | 7 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Period for Reply

Application No. 09/770,770 Applicant(s)

Bernard et al.

Examiner

Joyce Tung

Art Unit 1637



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

| | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| - Exten | | FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed | | | |
| - If the | period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. | , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will | | | |
| - If NO | period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication. | period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this | | | |
| - Failur - Any r | e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by | v statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) 🗌 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This ac | tion is non-final. | | | |
| 3) 🗆 | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| - | tion of Claims | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> | is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4 | a) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> | is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) 🗆 | Claims | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Applica | tion Papers | | | | |
| 9) 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 10)□ | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| 11)□ | The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved. | | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p | riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). | | | |
| a) 🗀 |] All b)□ Some* c)□ None of: | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have | | | | |
| | Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure se the attached detailed Office action for a list of th | | | | |
| _ | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | · · | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachm | | 40 🗆 | | | |
| | stice of References Cited (PTO-892) stice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Peper No(s). 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other: | | | | | |
| Patent and | Trademark Office | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/770,770

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1637.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. Claims 1-16 are vague and indefinite because it is unclear whether or not the plurality of nucleic acid probes are complementary to the different overlapping regions of the target nucleic acid since in figure 1, it appears that the plurality of probes hybridized to the different overlapping regions of the target nucleic acid. Clarification is required. In addition, in step c) of claims 1 and 6, it is unclear how the Δt_m is determined. Does the terminology mean that the Δt_m is separately determined between two probes on the target nucleic acid and between the same two probes as hybridized to the target nucleic acid on the control nucleic acid or the Δt_m is

Application/Control Number: 09/770,770

Art Unit: 1637

determined between one probe on the target nucleic acid and the same probe as hybridized to the target nucleic acid on the control nucleic acid. Clarification is required.

- b. Claims 12-16 are vague and indefinite because it is unclear how Δt_m is determined in claim 12. Does the terminology mean that the Δt_m is determined between one probe of said first set of probe and one probe of second set of probes. Clarification is required.
- 3. No claims are allowable.
- 4. Claims 2-16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35
 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- Claim I would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35
 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joyce Tung whose telephone number is (703) 305-7112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM- 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached at (703) 308-1119 on Monday-Friday from 10:00 AM-6:00 PM.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical/Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Art Unit: 1637

7. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 1656 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 using (703) 305-3014 or 308-4242. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Joyce Tung

February 6, 2002

KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Hentel Holis

2/11/02